Withdrawn 2/19/86 Vote 7-0 to return to Plan Comm.

ORDINANCE 86 - 5

To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps from MQ to ML/PCD and Grant Outline Plan Approval RE: 2200 Vernal Pike (Larry Neidigh)

WHEREAS,

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, ML/PCD-106-85, and recommended that the petitioner, Larry Neidigh, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and requests that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT;

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning be changed from MQ to ML/PCD for property located at 2200 Vernal Pike and more accurately described as follows:

A part of the Northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 31, Township 9 North, RAnge 1 West, Monroe County, State of Indiana, bounded and described as follows, to-wit: Beginning at a point 40 rods south of the northeast corner of said Section 31, running thences west a distance of 286 feet, running thence south a distance of 434 feet, more or less, to the corner of the Bloomington and Spencer Road (also known as the Bloomington and Hensenburg Road), running thence in a southeasterly direction along the center line of said road to a point where said center line crosses the east line of said northeast quarter of said Section 31, running thence north along the easter line of said northeast quarter of said Section 31, to the place of beginning.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC $^{36-7-4}$ and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the above designated property be designated a Planned Commercial Development.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this _____ day _____, 1986.

JAMES REGESTER, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County Indiana, upon this ____ day of ____, 1986.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this ____ day of ____, 1986.

TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would designate the 3 acre parcel located at 2200 Vernal Pike as a PCD. Approval would also confer outline plan approval for the development.

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

	B, I hereby cer	tity that	the attached	
Ordinance Number 86-, is a tru ML/PCD-105-85	ie and complete	copy of E	lan Commission	
Case Number which was	given a recomme	endation o	of approval	
by a vote of 9 Ayes, 0 Nays,	and <u>0</u> Absten	tions by t	the Bloomington	sØ
City Plan Commission at a public	المنا أ	nothy	a. Musler	→
Date: January 23		im Muellei lan Commis	S Director , Secretary ssion	
Received by the Common Council C	Office this 23	_day of Ja	<u>nuary</u> ,19	86.
Patricia Williams, City Clerk				
\sim	Fiscal Impact			
Appropriation Ordinance #	*		Resolution#	
Type of Legislation:	Of a Therioc			
	Program	Penal Or		
Budget Transfer New Pro Salary Change Bonding		Grant Ap	proval rative Change	
Zoning Change X Investment		Short-Te	erm Borrowing	entenco
New Fees Annexat	ion	Other		····
MQ to ML/PCD with outline	plan approv	al.		
If the legislation directly afferby the City Controller:	ects City funds	, the foll	owing must be comp	oleted
Cause of Request:				. :
Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need	,	Emergeno Other	у	· ·
Funds Affected by Request:				
Fund(s) Affected				
Fund Balance as of January 1	\$		\$	
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year	ar			
Appropriations to Date				
Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+				•
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+				•
Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+ Projected Balance	÷/-)		· \$	
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+			· \$	
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+	\$ Signature of or impact on ex	Controlle	\$ er	
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major	Signature of or impact on ex No X as a major fiscal	Controlle	\$ Ey appropriations,	fiscal
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have	Signature of or impact on ex No X as a major fiscal	Controlle	\$ ry appropriations, explain briefly t	fiscal
Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion.	Signature of or impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact on the futures in the futures.	Controlled isting Cital impact, y is not pact, exp.	sy appropriations, explain briefly the located in the lain briefly what which could lead to	fiscal ne
Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. Corporate limits. If the Tegislation will have a mon City costs and revenues will significant additional expenditures.	Signature of or impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact on the futures in the futures.	Controlled isting Cital impact, y is not pact, exp.	sy appropriations, explain briefly the located in the lain briefly what which could lead to	fiscal ne the eff
Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. Corporate limits. If the legislation will have a mon City costs and revenues will significant additional expenditures.	Signature of or impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact on the futures in the futures.	Controlled isting Cital impact, y is not pact, exp.	sy appropriations, explain briefly the located in the lain briefly what which could lead to	fiscal ne the eff
Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. Corporate limits. If the Tegislation will have a mon City costs and revenues will significant additional expenditus (Continue on second sheet if necession)	Signature of or impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact on the futures in the futures.	Controlled isting Cital impact, y is not pact, explanations where the control is	sy appropriations, explain briefly the located in the lain briefly what which could lead to	fiscal ne